Operational Research Highlights

1. Douglas-fir thinning and fertilizer responses on a droughty site

Thinned

Non-thinned

Fertilizer application
**Stand volume**

Thinned:
- 40-50% increase from fertilization.
- Accelerating response.

Non-thinned:
- 30% increase from fertilization.
- Decelerating response.
Gross PAI

Thinned: increasing growth; increasing fertilizer response.

Non-thinned: decreasing growth; decreasing fertilizer response.
Mortality & Net PAI

Thinned:
- No effect of fertilization on mortality.

Non-thinned:
- Increased volume mortality from fertilization.
**Economic analysis**

**Thinned:**
- Greater PNV when harvested 20 years after fertilization.
- Greater PNV from fertilization but no difference between 200N and 400N.

**Non-thinned:**
- Greater PNV when harvested 20 years after fertilization.
- No effect of fertilization on PNV.

T vs. NT: thinning reduced PNV of non-fertilized plots.

Assumptions of the economic analysis: merchantable volume estimate; 2008 product values; PCT=$60/acre (1963); $100 & $190/acre for 200N and 400N treatments, respectively; all costs and revenues standardized for 2014 dollars; no real change in wood product prices; did not account for logging/hauling costs or alternative investments; 5% discount rate. Thanks to Chuck Chambers & Jim Hotvedt!
2. Preventing the development of recalcitrant plant communities

References: Harrington & Schoenholtz 2010; Harrington et al. 2018; Peter & Harrington 2018
Disturbance redistributes resources during early stand development

Logging debris

Vegetation control

Soil disturbance

Competing vegetation

1. Altered abundance & species composition

Soil water & nutrients

2. Vegetation, soil, & precipitation modify resource availability

Tree responses
Light debris: 4 tons/acre

Heavy debris: 9 tons/acre

Logging debris treatments
Matlock Long-Term Soil Productivity Study

Heavy debris

Light debris

2 weeks after debris treatments

3 years after debris treatments
Surprising course of vegetation development

- Year 4: attempted to eliminate Scotch broom to prevent loss of study.
- By year 10, broom recovery was clearly dependent on the original logging debris treatments.
- Follow-up measurements were taken in year 15 (2018)…

Matlock LTSP Study

Scotch broom cover (%)

- Heavy debris (BO)
- Light debris (WT)

Years since harvesting

Broom controlled
Debris effects

- Cover of Scotch broom was less in heavy debris.
- Cover of salal and trailing blackberry was greater in heavy debris (“trellising”).
- Douglas-fir beginning to respond to heavy debris.
**Veg. ctrl. effects**

- 5 years of herbicide treatments had less effect on vegetation than the one-time debris treatment.
- Douglas-fir cover increased with vegetation control.

![Graphs showing vegetation control effects](image-url)
3. Methods for controlling Scotch broom

References: Harrington 2009; Harrington 2014; Peter & Harrington 2018
Sulfonylurea herbicides

- Oust® or Escort® herbicides cause little direct mortality of Scotch broom seedlings.
- What about environmental stress?
- In a growth chamber experiment, combining Oust® with soil drought accelerated broom mortality.
Sulfonylurea herbicides stunt broom seedling morphology

Non-treated seedlings @ 90 days

Sulfometuron-treated seedlings

Metsulfuron-treated seedlings
Synthetic auxin herbicides

- Soil-active herbicides having a mode of activity similar to auxin.
- Kill up to 90% of Scotch broom seedlings as they emerge from the soil surface.
- Moderate rates also effective; clopyralid somewhat less effective.

MLR = maximum labeled rate
Synthetic auxin herbicide effects on broom seedlings at 14 days

- Non-treated check
- Aminocyclopyrachlor
- Aminopyralid
- Clopyralid
Cost/efficacy comparisons among herbicides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Herbicide</th>
<th>Herbicide rate</th>
<th>Herbicide cost</th>
<th>Broom seedling mortality</th>
<th>Cost per unit mortality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aminocyclopyrachlor</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aminopyralid</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clopyralid</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Logging debris effects on Scotch broom seedling emergence

- Rapid recruitment of Scotch broom seedlings under light debris; very little under heavy debris.
- Two-thirds of total recruitment occurs in the second year after forest harvesting.
- High density + high growth rates $\rightarrow$ rapid cover development.
- Long-term control from heavy debris because plant community resists invasion.

Mechanisms: cooler temperatures under debris + vines (trellising) reduce broom germination; shade (and shift to far red light) limits seedling biomass, especially roots.
4. Conifer regeneration performance versus opening size

Reference: Harrington & Devine 2018
Identify the best conifer species and gap sizes for group selection silviculture at Joint Base Lewis-McChord (JBLM).

Species tested:
- grand fir
- Douglas-fir
- western redcedar
- western hemlock

Gap sizes tested:
- No gap (matrix)
- 0.25 acre
- 0.5 acre
- 0.75 acre
- 1.0 acre
Planting grid (8’ spacing) near center of each gap

Matrix area thinned to 30% of maximum SDI; 1-acre gap in background
Gap size effects on light

- At gap sizes of 0.5 acre and greater, light intensity was 91 to 98% of full sun.
- Light intensity was 39 and 68% for forest matrix and 0.25-acre gaps, respectively.
Gap size effects on conifer regeneration

- **Stem diameter at planting**: Douglas-fir (5 mm) > western redcedar (4 mm) > grand fir (3 mm) = western hemlock (3 mm).

- **Year 3**:
  - Survival of Douglas-fir and western redcedar did not vary with gap size, but survival of grand fir and western hemlock peaked at a 0.3-acre gap size.
  - Peak values of stem diameter occurred within a narrow range of gap sizes for all species (0.6-0.7 acre).

- Douglas-fir and western redcedar were the best performers (partly due to larger initial size).
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5. Comparing stand growth among various silvicultural systems

Reference: Curtis et al. 2004
Silvicultural options study

- 50-year rotation.
- 10-year cutting cycle for patch and group treatments; 20% of area harvested at each entry.
- Second thinning in year 20; Curtis RD reduced to 40.
- Two-age treatment repeated in year 50.
Two-aged stand

Clearcut

Control

Silviculture Options Study
2009 Photographs from Blue Ridge

Aerial photographs by James Dollins, PNW Research Station
Initial conditions

- Initial volume differed little among treatments at the beginning of the study.
- Harvesting intensity varied with treatment.
First five years...

- Volume growth increased with the level of growing stock.
First five years...

- Mortality volume in the clearcut treatment was less than in each of the other treatments.

- Very little in-growth (1.6” dbh).
Second five years...

- Second harvest for patch and group treatments.
Second five years...

- Again, mortality volume less in the clearcut.
- Volume growth increased with the level of growing stock.
- Considerable in-growth in the clearcut and two-age treatments.
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